

CASE FOR ENDORSEMENT

Agenda Item X.X [Insert title - To be completed by the Secretariat]

PART A: Summary Information

Submitted by IRC(s)	Construction, Plumbing and Services	Date	21 July 2021
SSO	Artibus Innovation	Industry sector	Construction
EXECUTIVE SUMMARY			
<p>This Case for Endorsement proposes updates to the Assessment Requirements for 1 unit of competency in the Construction, Plumbing and Services Training Package.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The proposed changes to the Assessment Requirements of CPCWHS1001 <i>Prepare to work safely in the construction industry</i> and the development of a standalone Companion Volume Implementation Guide (CVIG) aim to address several of the concerns raised by Safework Australia and the Australian Quality and Skills Authority (AQSQ) 2013 Strategic Review: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> o include processes regarding learner identity verification o provide more information on how to support the needs of students with poor Language Literacy and Numeracy skills and students with disability o update and strengthen assessment conditions to clearly outline industry endorsed assessment methods. This should include enhancing how performance evidence and knowledge evidence are written to effectively provide consistent methods of assessment and detailing specific assessment conditions. <p>If approved, this Case for Endorsement will result in:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Updated Foundation Skills and Assessment Requirements of the CPCWHS1001 <i>Prepare to work safely in the construction industry</i>. • A new standalone Companion Volume Implementation Guide to support training providers in delivering training and assessment in the industry. <p>No net change to the number of units or qualifications in the CPC Training Package.</p>			
EVIDENCE OF INDUSTRY SUPPORT FOR THE PROPOSED CHANGES			
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The proposed changes and their intent across both rounds of consultation and validation were supported by the stakeholders that participated in each round. See Clause 4 in the Case for Endorsement which provides diagrams of the support received. • Stakeholders that participated in the consultation processes included: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> o Regulators o Training providers o Associations o Unions o Businesses/employers o State Training Authorities (STAs) 			

DELIVERY OR IMPLEMENTATION ISSUES	YES <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NO <input type="checkbox"/>
<ul style="list-style-type: none">• See Victorian Report by Exception in the Case for Endorsement.<ul style="list-style-type: none">○ The IRC was briefed on these matters throughout each consultation phase. The IRC supported and endorsed the advice provided by the TAG on these matters.	

DELAYS TO ORIGINAL CASE FOR ENDORSEMENT SUBMISSION DATE	YES <input type="checkbox"/> NO <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
<ul style="list-style-type: none">• The original Activity Order for the project included an end date of 30 June 2021.• No delays are related to this project.	
WHEN WERE THE QUALIFICATIONS/UNITS OF COMPETENCY LAST REVIEWED BY THE AISC?	
<ul style="list-style-type: none">• The unit of competency/assessment requirements in this Case were last reviewed on 8 December 2016 to transition CPCCOHS1001A Work safely in the construction industry to the 2012 Standards for Training Packages.	

PART B: Secretariat Assessment (*To be completed by the Secretariat)

HAVE ANY ISSUES BEEN IDENTIFIED WITH THE SUBMISSION?	YES <input type="checkbox"/> NO <input type="checkbox"/>
<p>If yes, briefly describe the issues that have been identified. These may include:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • STA report by exception or significant concerns by industry and businesses • evidence of issues with consultation (scope, method, timing, transparency) • lack of evidence of industry support for proposed changes to training products • lack of evidence of streamlining/rationalisation of training products • inconsistencies with training package policy documents • concerns regarding proposed responses to identified delivery or implementation issues 	
SUGGESTIONS FOR HOW ISSUES CAN BE RESOLVED	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Briefly outline ways the Secretariat believes the above issues could be resolved. 	
SECRETARIAT RECOMMENDATIONS FOR THE AISC	
<p>The Secretariat Recommends that the AISC</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • APPROVE the XXXXX <p>This decision has been made based on consideration of the following criteria (*Secretariat to include an explanation when a project is recommended but does not meet all criteria identified):</p>	
Was sufficient stakeholder consultation undertaken?	YES <input type="checkbox"/> NO <input type="checkbox"/>
Is there evidence of industry support for the proposed changes/training products?	YES <input type="checkbox"/> NO <input type="checkbox"/>
Have stakeholder concerns/issues been appropriately considered and addressed?	YES <input type="checkbox"/> NO <input type="checkbox"/>
Have any delivery or implementation issues been sufficiently responded to?	YES <input type="checkbox"/> NO <input type="checkbox"/>
Has evidence been provided that the project will support the rationalisation and streamlining of training products?	YES <input type="checkbox"/> NO <input type="checkbox"/>
Does the proposal align with the other skills ministers' priorities?	YES <input type="checkbox"/> NO <input type="checkbox"/>

Drafting instructions

Purpose: This checklist is designed to **highlight key information from the Case for Endorsement to the AISC**. It is **not intended to replace the Case for Endorsement** but to provide an opportunity for the IRC/SSO to put forward a succinct and clear argument for why the proposed changes/updates should be supported.

Font: Calibri 11pt

Spacing: Before: 3 pt / After 0 pt / Line spacing: Single

Delete: Unused dot points and all green text before submitting. Thank you!